

153/2019/2020 RAN PUEB Resolution from the 16th of July 2020 regarding the manner of verifying the learning effects for qualification at the level of 8 Polish Qualification Framework (PQF) within the procedure of awarding doctoral degrees at the Poznań University of Economics and Business.

§ 1

1. Pursuant to the Resolution no. 3 of PUEB Senate (2019/2020) of 27 September 2019 should there occur any doubts as to the fulfilment by a candidate applying for doctoral degree of requirements in the scope of Art. 186 sec. 1 point 2 of the Act of 20 July 2018 on Higher Education and Science (consolidated text Journal of Laws from 2020, item 85 as amended), appointed by the Chairman of RAN, the working team proposes manner of verifying the effects of learning for the qualification at the level of 8 PQF. Such verification is resolved by way of RAN decision specifying which exams ought to be passed by a candidate applying for the awarding of doctoral degree.
2. Verification of learning effects for qualification at level 8 of PQF is carried out in accordance with the provisions contained in table no. 1; constituting appendix no. 1 to the hereby resolution. In particular, the person who applies for the award of doctoral degree shall pass an exam from the discipline to which the topic of their doctoral dissertation relates, an exam from the methodology of social sciences and methodology of researches in social sciences as well as submitting their original/co-published scientific publication which fulfils the requirements specified in Art. 186 sec. 1 point 3 litter a of the Act from 20 July 2018 of the Act on Higher Education and Science (uniform text, Journal of Laws from 2020, item 85, as amended),
3. The exams that allow for verification of the learning effects in the scope of knowledge are written and in light of their significance, they are elaborated in a direct mode – in the University headquarters. Pursuant to the consent of the Chairman of RAN, provided that the binding provisions do not forbid same, these may be held remotely with the use of electronic communication means specified by the Chairman in a different location than the headquarters of the University, as indicated by the Chairman.
4. The schedule and location of conduct of the exams are established by the Chairman of RAN. These information are placed on the internet website of the University.
5. Scope of material – in the form of subject matters that must be mastered by an applicant who applies to be awarded a doctoral degree throughout the process of verifying learning effects in the scope of knowledge for qualification at 8 PQF level – is published on the website of the University.
6. Specialists recruited as a rule from amongst the lecturers at the Doctoral School are the examiners.
7. The course of exam in direct mode as well as in the remote one is supervised by the chairman of the working teams appointed by the Chairman of RAN in order to carry out doctoral proceedings for specified applicants who wish to be awarded doctoral degree.
8. Exams are evaluated by the examiners according to the following principle: “passed”, “failed”.
9. In case of failing the exam from the discipline that refers to the topic of a given doctoral dissertation or failing the exam from methodology of social sciences and methodology of research in social sciences, at the request of the applicant for doctoral degree, the

Chairman of RAN may grant consent for re-taking the exam. Re-taking each of the exams is possible solely once and no sooner than after the expiry of 3 months from the date of the failed exam.

10. Protocol from the conducted exam for verification of the learning effects in the scope of knowledge for qualification at the level 8 PQF is elaborated by the chairman of the working team and subsequently signed by the examiners and the chairman of the working team.
11. The working team elaborates a protocol from verification of the learning effects – in the scope of knowledge, skills and social competencies for qualification at 8 PQF level and passes it onto the Chairman of RAN. This protocol covers not only the information regarding the exam results but also the assessment performed by the working team of the presented by the candidate publications, carried out in the context of the requirements specified in table no. 1. Decision of RAN conditions the completion of verification with either a positive or a negative result.

§ 2

1. Order of actions undertaken by the working team and RAN with respect of verifying the learning effects for qualification at 8 PQF level in the proceeding for awarding of doctoral degree at the Provided by Poznań University of Economics is as follows:
 - 1) The working team familiarizes with the learning effects for qualification at 8 PQF level presented in table no. 1 (respectively, effects in the category of knowledge, skills and social competencies) and verifies, based on the documents submitted by the person applying for the award of doctoral degree, which of the indicated learning effects have not been fulfilled by the given person;
 - 2) The working team indicates the manner of verifying the learning effects for qualification at 8 PQF level in accordance with the guidelines contained in table no. 1, in particular, indicating which exams are obligatory for the person applying to be awarded doctoral degree;
 - 3) The working team proposes before the Chairman of RAN persons of examiners and terms of exams, taking into account the possible terms specified by the Chairman of RAN;
 - 4) RAN issues a decision concerning the manner of verification of learning effects for qualification at 8 PQF level, whereby persons of examiners and terms of exams are specified;
 - 5) RAN office, within 14 days from undertaking a decision by RAN, informs the person applying for the award of doctoral degree of the designated exams, including about the person of the chairman of the working team, persons of the examiners and term and location of the exam;
 - 6) The person who applies for the award of doctoral degree, within 14 days from the date of delivery of information regarding the exams, no later however than 14 days prior to the selected exam date, informs in writing of the selected term of exam attendance (provided that they had the possibility of carrying out the selection) and potentially directs to the Chairman of RAN a request for conduct of exam remotely;
 - 7) Within 14 days from taking the exam, the applicant for awarding a doctoral degree obtains from the RAN office notification on the exam result.

Appendix no. 1 to the RAN Resolution regarding the manner of verifying the learning effects for qualification at the level of 8 Polish Qualification Framework (PQF) within the procedure of awarding doctoral degrees at the Poznań University of Economics and Business.

Table No. 1. Learning effects for qualification at the level of 8 of the Polish Qualification Framework (PQF) within the procedure of awarding doctoral degrees at the Poznań University of Economics and Business.

Symbol	Reference to the Polish Qualification Framework – level 8	DESCRIPTION OF THE LEARNING EFFECTS	MANNER OF VERIFICATION OF EFFECTS
KNOWLEDGE			
K3_W01	P8S_WG	Knows and understands in a degree enabling revision of the existing paradigms – world acquis covering theoretical bases and general issues as well as the selected detailed issues in the scope of finance	Written exam from a discipline in which doctoral dissertation was elaborated. Respectively, in the field of economics and finance or in the field of management and quality sciences.
K3_W02	P8S_WG	Knows and understands the methodology of scientific research conduct in a degree that allows to formulate and resolve research issues by means of research methods and tools proper for the research in the scope of finances	Written exam from methodology of social sciences and methodology of research in social sciences.
K3_W03	P8S_WG	Knows and understands the main trends of development of scientific disciplines in which education occurs	Written exam from a discipline in which doctoral dissertation was elaborated. Respectively, in the field of economics and finance or in the field of management and quality sciences. Written exam from methodology of social sciences and methodology of research in social sciences.
K3_W04	P8S_WG P8S_WK	Knows and understands economic, legal and ethical conditions of carrying out scientific activity in the scope of finances, basic types of knowledge transfer for economic and social area as well as commercialization of results of	Written exam from a discipline in which doctoral dissertation was elaborated. Respectively, in the field of economics and finance or in the field of management and quality sciences. Written exam from methodology of social sciences and methodology of research in social sciences.

		scientific activity and the know-how related to these results as well as the principles of dissemination of the results of scientific activity in the areas of finance, including in the mode of open access	
K3_W05	P8S_WK	Knowledge and understanding of the fundamental dilemmas of modern civilization	Written exam from a discipline in which doctoral dissertation was elaborated. Respectively, in the field of economics and finance or in the field of management and quality sciences. Written exam from methodology of social sciences and methodology of research in social sciences.
SKILLS			
K3_U01	P8S_UW P8S_UO	Ability to use knowledge for creative identification, formulation and innovative solutions of scientific problems and in particular: defining the goal and the subject of research, formulating a hypothesis, developing methods and research tools and their creative application, interpretation and drawing conclusions on the basis of scientific research results	Assessment of the contents of scientific publication fulfilling the requirements indicated in Art. 186 sec. 1 point 3 letter a of the Act on Higher Education and Science (uniform text Journal of Laws from 2020, item 85, as amended).
K3_U02	P8S_UW P8S_UK	is able to critically analyse and evaluate the results of macroeconomic researches and their impact on the development of science	
K3_U03	P8S_UK	Ability to communicate on specialist topics in a degree allowing for an active participation in the international environment and disseminate the results of scientific activity	
K3_U04	P8S_UU P8S_UO	Is able to independently gain and expand knowledge and skills of planning own scientific development as well as inspiring and organizing development for other persons	
K3_U05	P8S_UU	Is able to plan classes or groups of classes with the use of modern methods and tools	
SOCIAL COMPETENCIES			

K3_K01	P8S_KK	Is ready to perform critical analysis of scientific achievements in the scope of a given scientific discipline, including one's own input in its development	Assessment of the contents of scientific publication fulfilling the requirements indicated in Art. 186 sec. 1 point 3 letter a of the Act on Higher Education and Science (uniform text Journal of Laws from 2020, item 85, as amended).
K3_K02	P8S_KO	Readiness to fulfil social obligations of a researcher, thinking and acting in an entrepreneurial manner	
K3_K03	P8S_KR	Readiness to sustain and develop the ethos of scientific environments, including: conduct of research in an autonomous manner and respect the principles of public ownership of the results of scientific activity while considering the principles of intellectual property protection	

Explanation of symbols: K3

W

U

K (after underscore)

PQF

Explanation of abbreviations (in line with PQF): descriptive category – aspects regarding basic meaning WG

WK

UW

UK

UO

UU

KK

KO

KR

– learning effects for qualification at 8 PQF level

– knowledge category

– skills category

– category of social competencies

– level 8 of the Polish Qualification Framework (PQF), second degree characteristics

– Scope and depth – completeness of the cognitive perspective and dependencies

–Context–conditions, effects

–Use of knowledge–resolved issues and carried out tasks

–Communicating–receiving and creating answers, promoting knowledge in the scientific environment and command of a foreign language

–Organization of work–planning and team work

– Learning – planning own development and development of others

–Assessment–critical approach

–Responsibility–fulfilling social commitments and acting in the public interest

–Professional role–independence and development of ethos